

# INTRODUCTION TO HARMONIC ANALYSIS OVER FINITE FIELDS

ELAD ZELINGHER

## 1. FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group. We denote by  $\hat{G}$  the collection of characters (i.e. homomorphisms)  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ .  $\hat{G}$  has a group structure by pointwise multiplication, and is called the character group of  $G$ . Note that since  $\chi^{|G|} = 1$ , we have that  $|\chi(g)| = 1$  for every  $g \in G$ , and therefore  $\chi(-g) = \chi(g)^{-1} = \overline{\chi(g)}$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\chi(1)^n = \chi(n) = \chi(0) = 1$ , and therefore  $\chi(1) = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}}$  for some  $k$ , and then  $\chi(j) = \chi(1)^j = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}j}$ . It can be verified that  $\chi_k(j) = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}j}$  is indeed a character of  $G$ . Therefore we have that  $G \cong \hat{G}$  by  $k \mapsto \chi_k$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $G, H$  be two finite abelian groups. Then  $\hat{G} \times \hat{H} \cong \widehat{G \times H}$  by  $(\chi, \mu) \mapsto (g, h) \mapsto \chi(g)\mu(h)$ .

Recall that if  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then  $G$  is isomorphic to a finite product of finite cyclic groups. Therefore we have

**Corollary 3.**  $G \cong \hat{G}$ .

This isomorphism is far from being canonical, it depends on the choice of generators for the cyclic groups.

We will now use the character group  $\hat{G}$  in order to expand functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in terms of characters. Denote  $S(G) = \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$  the space of all functions from  $G$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . We have an inner product defined on  $S(G)$  by

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)} \quad f_1, f_2 \in S(G).$$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in S(G)$ . Then  $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \chi_1 \neq \chi_2 \\ |G| & \chi_1 = \chi_2 \end{cases}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$  then  $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \overline{\chi(g)} = \sum_{g \in G} 1 = |G|$ .

If  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ , then there exists  $g_0 \in G$  with  $\chi_1(g_0) \neq \chi_2(g_0)$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle &= \sum_{g \in G} \chi_1(g) \overline{\chi_2(g)} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \chi_1(g_0 + g) \overline{\chi_2(g_0 + g)} \\ &= \chi_1(g_0) \chi_2(g_0)^{-1} \langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\chi_1(g_0) \chi_2(g_0)^{-1} \neq 1$ , we get  $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = 0$ . □

Since we know that  $S(G)$  is a vector space of dimension  $|G|$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and since  $|\hat{G}| = |G|$ , we have that

**Corollary 5.** *The set  $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}}\chi\right)_{\chi \in \hat{G}}$  forms an orthonormal basis for  $S(G)$ .*

Given a function  $f \in S(G)$ , we can write its expansion with respect to this basis by

$$f = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \left\langle f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}}\chi \right\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}}\chi.$$

We denote  $\hat{f}(\chi) = \left\langle f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}}\chi^{-1} \right\rangle$ .  $\hat{f}$  is a function  $\hat{f} : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.  $\hat{f} \in S(\hat{G})$ . Then we have  $f = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\chi^{-1}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}}\chi$ . From this expansion we get Plancherel's theorem:

**Theorem 6** (Plancherel). *The map  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is unitary.*

*Proof.* Since  $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}}\chi\right)_{\chi \in \hat{G}}$  is an orthonormal basis, we have that  $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \left| \hat{f}(\chi^{-1}) \right|^2 = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \left| \hat{f}(\chi) \right|^2 = \|\hat{f}\|^2$ , and therefore  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  preserves length and is unitary.  $\square$

We have a canonical map  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \hat{\hat{G}}$  by  $\varphi(g)(\chi) = \chi(g)$ . One can check that this is an isomorphism. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\chi) &= \left\langle f, \chi^{-1} \right\rangle = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}}\chi(g) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}}\varphi(g)(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the coefficient of  $\varphi(g)^{-1} = \varphi(-g)$  in  $\hat{f}(\chi)$  is  $f(-g)$ , and we get

**Theorem 7** (Fourier Inversion formula).  *$\hat{\hat{f}}(\varphi(g)) = f(-g)$ . If we write  $g$  for  $\varphi(g)$  (identifying  $\hat{\hat{G}}$  with  $G$  via  $\varphi$ ), we have  $\hat{\hat{f}}(g) = f(-g)$ .*

## 2. FINITE FIELDS

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for  $p = \text{char } \mathbb{F}$ . Denote  $k = [\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{F}_p]$ . Let  $\psi^0 : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a nontrivial character. Denote  $\psi = \psi^0 \circ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p} \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}$ . Consider the map  $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{F}}$  defined by  $a \mapsto \psi_a$ , where  $\psi_a(x) = \psi(ax) = \psi^0(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p}(ax))$ . This is a homomorphism, and it is injective: let  $b \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $\text{Tr}(b) \neq 0$  (such  $b$  exists because  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p} b = 0 \iff b^{p^{k-1}} + b^{p^{k-2}} + \dots + b = 0$ , this is a polynomial of degree  $p^{k-1}$ , and therefore can have at most  $p^{k-1}$  roots. Therefore there exists an element of  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p}(b) \neq 0$ ). Then if  $a \neq 0$ ,  $\psi_a\left(\frac{1}{\text{Tr}(b)}ba^{-1}\right) = \psi^0(1) \neq 1$ .

Since  $|\mathbb{F}| = |\hat{\mathbb{F}}|$ , the map  $a \mapsto \psi_a$  is an isomorphism  $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{F}}$ .

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $\psi : (\mathbb{F}, +) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a non-trivial character. Then for every  $\psi' \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}$  there exists a unique  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ , such that  $\psi'(x) = \psi(ax)$ .*

Recall that for  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have that every unitary character is of the form  $x \mapsto e^{i\xi x}$ , for some  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For a non-trivial character  $\psi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , denote  $\psi_a : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\psi_a(x) = \psi(ax)$ . We will denote the Fourier transform of  $f \in S(\mathbb{F})$  with respect to the character  $\psi$  by  $(\mathcal{F}_\psi f)(a) = \hat{f}(\psi_a)$ , i.e.

$$(\mathcal{F}_\psi f)(a) = \hat{f}(\psi_a) = \left\langle f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \psi_a^{-1} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}} f(x) \psi(ax).$$

Under this identification  $(\mathcal{F}_\psi \mathcal{F}_\psi f)(x) = f(-x)$ , and  $(\mathcal{F}_{\psi^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_\psi f)(x) = f(x)$ , where the latter follows from the relation  $(\mathcal{F}_{\psi^{-1}} f)(a) = (\mathcal{F}_\psi f)(-a)$ .

**2.1. Mellin transform.** Given a function  $f : \mathbb{F}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , its Mellin transform is the function  $\mathcal{M}f : \widehat{\mathbb{F}^\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $(\mathcal{M}f)(\theta) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}^\times|} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} f(x) \theta(x)$ . This is exactly the Fourier transform of  $f$  with respect to the abelian group  $\mathbb{F}^\times$ .

Given a function  $f : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we can restrict it to  $\mathbb{F}^\times$  and then take its Mellin transform. By abuse of notation denote this as  $\mathcal{M}(f)$ . A natural question to ask is what is the relation between  $\mathcal{M}(f)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_\psi f)$ .

**Example 9** (Tate). The characters on  $\mathbb{R}^\times$  are of the form  $x \mapsto |x|^s$  and  $x \mapsto |x|^s \cdot \text{sgn}x$  for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . The Mellin transform of a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the function

$$(\mathcal{M}f)(\theta) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) |x|^s \frac{dx}{|x|} & \theta = |x|^s, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) |x|^s \text{sgn}x \frac{dx}{|x|} & \theta = |x|^s \text{sgn}x. \end{cases}$$

The Fourier transform of a function with respect to the character  $\psi(x) = e^{-2\pi i x}$  is  $(\mathcal{F}_\psi f)(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x y} dx$ . We have the following relation

$$(\mathcal{M}f)(\theta) = \gamma(\theta, \psi)^{-1} (\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_\psi f))(\hat{\theta}),$$

where  $\hat{\theta}(x) = \frac{|x|}{\theta(x)}$  and

$$\gamma(\theta, \psi)^{-1} = \begin{cases} 2^{1-s} \pi^{-s} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}s\right) \Gamma(s) & \theta = |x|^s, \\ 2^{1-s} \pi^{-s} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}s\right) \Gamma(s) i & \theta = |x|^s \text{sgn}x. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 10** (Tate's local functional equation analog). *Denote for  $f \in S(\mathbb{F})$  and  $\theta \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}^\times}$ ,*

$$Z(f, \theta) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} f(x) \theta(x) = \sqrt{|\mathbb{F}^\times|} (\mathcal{M}f)(\theta).$$

*Then*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} f(0) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \theta(x) + \gamma(\theta, \psi) Z(f, \theta) = Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f, \theta^{-1}),$$

*where  $\gamma(\theta, \psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \theta^{-1}(x) \psi(x)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\theta = 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f, \theta^{-1}) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \mathcal{F}_\psi f(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}} f(y) \psi(xy) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}^\times} f(y) \psi(xy) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} f(0) \cdot 1 \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \underbrace{\theta^{-1}(x)}_{=1} \psi(x) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}^\times} f(y) + \frac{f(0)}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \underbrace{\theta(x)}_{=1}
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\theta \neq 1$ , then  $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \theta(x) = 0$ . We prove that  $Z(f_1, \theta) Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f_2, \theta^{-1}) = Z(f_2, \theta) Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f_1, \theta^{-1})$ , for every  $f_1, f_2$ . Then we choose a good substitution for  $f_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(f_1, \theta) Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f_2, \theta^{-1}) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} f_1(x) \theta(x) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \mathcal{F}_\psi f_2(y) \theta(y^{-1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}} f_1(x) f_2(z) \theta(xy^{-1}) \psi(zy)
\end{aligned}$$

If  $z = 0$ , we get a sum  $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \theta(xy^{-1}) = 0$ , as  $\theta^{-1} \neq 1$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(f_1, \theta) Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f_2, \theta^{-1}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}^\times} f_1(x) f_2(z) \theta(xy^{-1}) \psi(zy) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}^\times} f_1(x) f_2(z) \theta(xzy^{-1}) \psi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the last sum is symmetric with respect to  $f_1, f_2$ , and therefore

$$Z(f_1, \theta) Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f_2, \theta^{-1}) = Z(f_2, \theta) Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f_1, \theta^{-1}).$$

Now take  $f_2 = \delta_1$  the indicator function of 1, then  $(\mathcal{F}_\psi f_2)(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \psi(y)$ . Therefore we have  $Z(f_2, \theta) = 1$  and  $Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f_2, \theta^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \theta^{-1}(x) \psi(x) = \gamma(\theta, \psi)$ , i.e. we proved that for every  $f \in S(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $Z(f, \theta) \gamma(\theta, \psi) = Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f, \theta^{-1})$ .  $\square$

For  $\theta \neq 1$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(\theta, \psi) Z(f, \theta) &= Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f, \theta^{-1}) \\
Z(f, \theta) &= Z(\mathcal{F}_{\psi^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_\psi f, \theta) = \gamma(\theta^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) Z(\mathcal{F}_\psi f, \theta^{-1}) \\
&= \gamma(\theta^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) \gamma(\theta, \psi) Z(f, \theta),
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\gamma(\theta^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) \gamma(\theta, \psi) = 1$ . By definition  $\overline{\gamma(\theta, \psi)} = \gamma(\theta^{-1}, \psi^{-1})$ , and therefore  $|\gamma(\theta, \psi)| = 1$ , i.e.  $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^\times} \theta^{-1}(x) \psi(x)$  has norm  $\sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|}$ .

### 3. QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY LAW

Let  $q$  be an odd prime. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a field with  $q$  elements. A character  $\theta_q : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is a quadratic character if  $\theta_q : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ . Such a non-trivial character exists and is unique: let  $\xi$  be a generator of the cyclic group  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . Then  $\theta : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

is determined by the image of  $\xi$ . Therefore  $\theta_q(\xi^k) = (-1)^k$  is the unique non-trivial quadratic character on  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . Furthermore, recall that for every  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$  we have  $a^{q-1} = 1$ . Then  $a^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv \pm 1$ . Therefore we have a character  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\times$  by  $a \mapsto a^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ . Note that  $\xi^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \neq 1$ , as  $\xi$  is a generator of the cyclic group, and therefore  $\xi^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = -1$ , which implies that the above two characters agree, i.e.  $\theta_q(a) \equiv a^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \pmod{q}$ . Finally note that if  $a = b^2$ , then  $a^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = b^{q-1} = 1$ , now  $\theta_q(\xi^k) = 1$  if  $k$  is even (and then  $\xi^k = \left(\xi^{\frac{k}{2}}\right)^2$  is a square), and  $\theta_q(\xi^k) = -1$  if  $k$  is odd (and then  $\xi^k$  can't be a square). We denote  $\theta_q(a) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right)$  the Legendre symbol.

Let  $p, q$  be odd primes with  $p \neq q$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a non-trivial additive character, and let  $\theta_q : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a non trivial quadratic character. Then  $\theta_q^2 = 1$ , which implies  $\gamma(\theta_q^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) = \gamma(\theta_q, \psi^{-1}) = \theta_q(-1)\gamma(\theta_q, \psi)$ . Denote  $\tau = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \theta_q^{-1}(x)\psi(x)$ , then  $\tau^2 = \theta_q(-1) \cdot q$ . Note that since  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \theta_q^{-1}(x)\psi(px) = \theta_q(p) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \theta_q^{-1}(x)\psi(x) = \theta_q(p)\tau.$$

Now  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]$  is an algebraic integer. We claim that the map  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]/p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]$  is an inclusion: otherwise  $j \in p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]$  for some  $0 < j < p$ , which implies that  $\frac{j}{p}$  is an algebraic integer, which is a contradiction.

Passing to the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]/p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]$  we have that  $\tau^2 = \theta_q(-1) \cdot q$  implies that  $\tau$  is invertible in the quotient, as  $q$  and  $\theta_q(-1)$  are invertible modulo  $p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]$ . Next we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^p &\equiv \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \theta_q^{-p}(x)\psi(px) \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \theta_q^{-1}(x)\psi(px) \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]} \\ &= \theta_q(p)\tau \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]}. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\tau^p = \tau \cdot (\tau^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \tau \cdot \theta_q(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot q^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ , and on the other hand  $\tau^p = \theta_q(p)\tau \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]}$ , therefore modulo  $p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]$  we get  $\theta_q(p)\tau = \theta_q(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot q^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\tau \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]}$ . Since  $\tau$  is invertible modulo  $p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]$ , we have  $\theta_q(p) = \theta_q(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot q^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}\left[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\right]}$ . Using the fact that  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = q^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$  and  $\theta_q(a) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right)$ ,  $\theta_q(-1) = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ , we get

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right),$$

which implies  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}$ .